



Drexel GPS Geopolitical Update:

U.S.- Iran War: Energy, Exposure, Escalation, and the Inevitable Pivot Back to China

04/02/26

Heading into last night, there was some expectation that President Trump might signal a ceasefire. Instead, his remarks pointed toward further near-term military escalation. Paired with the recent movement of U.S. ground forces into the region, it's increasingly likely those forces are intended to be used in some capacity before any ceasefire is declared.

What stood out most during the President's address was the emphasis on time compression—aligning the duration of operations with a defined endpoint that allows the administration to declare objectives achieved on its own timeline. That shift is reflected in a significant change in posture: earlier references to physically securing Iran's nuclear material gave way to reliance on space-based intelligence to monitor and respond if needed, lowering the threshold for declaring mission success.

- The working assumption across multiple actors is that any near-term resolution will prioritize restoring maritime flow through the Strait of Hormuz, a necessity given that roughly one-fifth of global energy supply transits the corridor and its disruption has already imposed a degree of market stress.
- Taken together, this points to an attempt to engage in a narrower, faster, and amplified military campaign in Iran prior to reaching a constrained end-state rather than a systemic rupture. This then followed by a pivot back to broader priorities with China reemerging as the primary focus.

Drexel GPS Assessment – The Chinese Perspective

- This outcome would be defined less by regime change and more by negotiated de-escalation under pressure: a functional reopening of Hormuz, partial stabilization of energy markets, and a temporary narrowing of U.S. operational objectives. Recent diplomatic positioning, including Chinese-backed proposals emphasizing ceasefire and maritime security, reinforces this trajectory toward a limited settlement framework rather than maximalist outcomes.
- From Beijing's perspective, this phase has been less about intervention and more about insulation. China's primary exposure is structural: a significant share (about 40%-50%)

of its imported crude oil flows through Hormuz, making prolonged disruption strategically untenable. As a result, Xi Jinping appears to be pursuing a dual-track posture - quietly supporting de-escalation while accelerating longer-term hedging by diversifying supply chains, reinforcing overland energy routes, and reducing vulnerability to maritime chokepoints.

The more consequential shift, however, comes after this crisis subsides.

- If U.S. focus begins to reallocate away from the Middle East having degraded Iranian capacity and stabilized oil flow through Hormuz, the structural logic of great power competition reasserts itself. The temporary diversion toward Iran and earlier military action on Venezuela could be interpreted by Beijing as episodic, not transformational. The baseline expectation is that Washington's strategic attention will revert toward China once immediate energy security concerns are contained.
- This anticipation is already shaping Chinese behavior. Rather than overcommitting on the side of Iran in the current crisis, Beijing has preserved its flexibility — positioning itself as a stabilizing actor in the near term while preparing for renewed U.S. concentration on the Indo-Pacific region. This implies a post-crisis environment marked by sharper alignment pressures, accelerated economic decoupling, and increased competition across trade, technology, and maritime domains. (In other words, a shift back to the status quo with respect to U.S. – China relations).
- Much like the Covid-19 pandemic exposed supply chain fragility, the U.S.–Iran crisis and disruption of the Strait of Hormuz has revealed China's reliance on energy flows through the Strait and is now increasingly expressed by officials in Washington as a point of leverage.
- In that sense, the current Iran episode is less a deviation than an interlude. For Beijing, the priority is to emerge from it structurally less exposed. For the U.S., it will reorient toward its primary strategic competitor, having cycled through secondary theaters.

Drexel GPS will continue to monitor the evolving situation.

Ben Downing

Director

Cyber & Geopolitical Strategy

110 East 42nd Street | New York, NY 10017

o: +1 646 412-1500 | c: +1 646-412-1500

www.drexelhamilton.com



Drexel Hamilton

A Service-Disabled Veteran Owned & Operated Business

Information regarding securities products, services, portfolios, markets, etc is not guaranteed as to accuracy, completeness, or fitness to a particular use. This is not an order entry system; please do not convey securities orders via e-mail, as Drexel Hamilton, LLC cannot be responsible for their timely handling. This communication is not intended as a solicitation for any securities transaction, nor as a final confirmation of any transaction. Drexel Hamilton, LLC reserves the right to monitor and disclose to others all e-mail communications, and cannot guarantee the confidentiality of any transmission. The information contained in this transmission is intended solely for the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain sensitive material. Any review, storage, re-transmission of, or taking action in reliance upon, this information by persons other than the intended recipient is prohibited. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender and delete the original. Drexel Hamilton, LLC is a member of FINRA/SIPC.